

Robust Optimization: Adaptive Case

V. Leclère (ENPC)

December 15, 2023

Contents

- 1 Fourier-Motzkin Elimination
- 2 Bender's decomposition for two-stage problems
- 3 Linear Decision Rules
- 4 Piecewise-constant Decision Rules

Two-stage robust optimization problem

Recall that a generic robust optimization problem reads as follows:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \max_{\xi \in R} J(x, \xi) + \mathbb{I}_{g(x, \xi) \leq 0}$$

This problem is **static** or **one-stage** because the decision maker has to choose x before knowing the realization of ξ .

In the **two-stage** or **adaptive** case, the decision maker can choose a **recourse** decision y after observing the realization of ξ :

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \max_{\xi \in R} \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^q} J(x, \xi, y) + \mathbb{I}_{g(x, \xi, y) \leq 0}$$

Two-stage robust optimization problem

Recall that a generic robust optimization problem reads as follows:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \max_{\xi \in R} J(x, \xi) + \mathbb{I}_{g(x, \xi) \leq 0}$$

This problem is **static** or **one-stage** because the decision maker has to choose x before knowing the realization of ξ .

In the **two-stage** or **adaptive** case, the decision maker can choose a **recourse** decision y after observing the realization of ξ :

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} \max_{\xi \in R} \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^q} J(x, \xi, y) + \mathbb{I}_{g(x, \xi, y) \leq 0}$$

Example

Solve the following example by eliminating the recourse variables:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}} & x \\ \text{s.t.} & x - y_{\xi} \leq -\xi \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1] \\ & -x + y_{\xi} \leq \xi + \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1] \\ & y_{\xi} \geq 1 \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1] \end{array}$$

Thus, the optimal value is $\frac{1}{2}$, and there are various optimal y .

Example

Solve the following example by eliminating the recourse variables:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}} & x \\
 \text{s.t.} & x - y_\xi \leq -\xi \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1] \\
 & -x + y_\xi \leq \xi + \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1] \\
 & y_\xi \geq 1 \quad \forall \xi \in [0, 1]
 \end{array}$$

The constraints are equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} y_\xi \geq x + \xi \\ y_\xi \leq x + \xi + \frac{1}{2} \\ y_\xi \geq 1 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \max \left\{ 1, \xi + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \leq y_\xi \leq 1 + \xi \\ 1 \leq x + \xi + \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the optimal value is $\frac{1}{2}$, and there are various optimal y .

RO is optimal for constraint-wise uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x, y(\cdot)} \quad & x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x - y_1(\xi) \leq \xi_1 & \forall \xi_1 \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ & -x + y_1(\xi) + y_2(\xi) \leq \xi_2 + 3\xi_3 + \frac{3}{2} & \forall \xi_2, \xi_3 \in [0, 1]^2 \\ & 1 \leq y_1(\xi) \leq \frac{3}{2} \\ & 1 \leq y_2(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

➔ this problem has **constraint-wise uncertainty** because the ξ_1 affect only the first constraint, and the ξ_2, ξ_3 affect only the second constraint.

RO is optimal for constraint-wise uncertainty



$$\begin{cases} \max \{1, 0.5 + \xi_1\} & \leq y_1(\xi) & \leq \min \{1.5, 1 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3\} \\ 1 & \leq y_2(\xi) & \leq 2 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3 - y_1(\xi) \end{cases}$$

- ➔ ξ_1 appears only on the left-hand side of the first constraint, so we can replace it with its maximum value 0.5;
- similarly, ξ_2, ξ_3 appear only on the right-hand side of the second constraint, so we can replace them with their minimum value 0.
- ➔ The optimal recourse is $y_1(\xi) = y_2(\xi) = 1$, that is a **constant** recourse.

More generally, if the uncertainty is constraint-wise, then the optimal recourse is constant.

RO is optimal for constraint-wise uncertainty



$$\begin{cases} \max \{1, 0.5 + \xi_1\} & \leq y_1(\xi) & \leq \min \{1.5, 1 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3\} \\ 1 & \leq y_2(\xi) & \leq 2 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3 - y_1(\xi) \end{cases}$$

➡ ξ_1 appears only on the left-hand side of the first constraint, so we can replace it with its maximum value 0.5;

similarly, ξ_2, ξ_3 appear only on the right-hand side of the second constraint, so we can replace them with their minimum value 0.

➡ The optimal recourse is $y_1(\xi) = y_2(\xi) = 1$, that is a **constant** recourse.

More generally, if the uncertainty is constraint-wise, then the optimal recourse is constant.

RO is optimal for constraint-wise uncertainty



$$\begin{cases} \max \{1, 0.5 + \xi_1\} & \leq y_1(\xi) & \leq \min \{1.5, 1 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3\} \\ 1 & \leq y_2(\xi) & \leq 2 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3 - y_1(\xi) \end{cases}$$

- ➔ ξ_1 appears only on the left-hand side of the first constraint, so we can replace it with its maximum value 0.5;
- similarly, ξ_2, ξ_3 appear only on the right-hand side of the second constraint, so we can replace them with their minimum value 0.
- ➔ The optimal recourse is $y_1(\xi) = y_2(\xi) = 1$, that is a **constant** recourse.

More generally, if the uncertainty is constraint-wise, then the optimal recourse is constant.

RO is optimal for constraint-wise uncertainty



$$\begin{cases} \max \{1, 0.5 + \xi_1\} & \leq y_1(\xi) & \leq \min \{1.5, 1 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3\} \\ 1 & \leq y_2(\xi) & \leq 2 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3 - y_1(\xi) \end{cases}$$

- ➔ ξ_1 appears only on the left-hand side of the first constraint, so we can replace it with its maximum value 0.5;
- similarly, ξ_2, ξ_3 appear only on the right-hand side of the second constraint, so we can replace them with their minimum value 0.
- ➔ The optimal recourse is $y_1(\xi) = y_2(\xi) = 1$, that is a **constant** recourse.

More generally, if the uncertainty is constraint-wise, then the optimal recourse is constant.

RO is optimal for constraint-wise uncertainty



$$\begin{cases} \max \{1, 0.5 + \xi_1\} & \leq y_1(\xi) & \leq \min \{1.5, 1 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3\} \\ 1 & \leq y_2(\xi) & \leq 2 + \xi_2 + 3\xi_3 - y_1(\xi) \end{cases}$$

- ➔ ξ_1 appears only on the left-hand side of the first constraint, so we can replace it with its maximum value 0.5;
- similarly, ξ_2, ξ_3 appear only on the right-hand side of the second constraint, so we can replace them with their minimum value 0.
- ➔ The optimal recourse is $y_1(\xi) = y_2(\xi) = 1$, that is a **constant** recourse.

More generally, if the uncertainty is constraint-wise, then the optimal recourse is constant.

Piecewise-linear decision rules are optimal

For **linear** two-stage robust optimization problems, we can find an optimal recourse that is **piecewise-linear** in the uncertainty ξ .

Contents

- 1 Fourier-Motzkin Elimination
- 2 Bender's decomposition for two-stage problems
- 3 Linear Decision Rules
- 4 Piecewise-constant Decision Rules

Two-stage robust linear problem setting

We consider the following two-stage robust linear problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{x, y(\cdot)} \quad & c^\top x + \max_{\xi \in R} d^\top y_\xi \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & A_\xi x + B_\xi y_\xi \leq b_\xi && \forall \xi \in R \\
 & x \in X, y_\xi \geq 0 && \forall \xi \in R
 \end{aligned}$$

Which can be reformulated as:

$$\min_{x \in X} c^\top x + V(x) \quad \text{where} \quad V(x) = \max_{\xi \in R} \tilde{V}(x, \xi)$$

With

$$\tilde{V}(x, \xi) := \min_{y_\xi \geq 0} \left\{ d^\top y_\xi \mid A_\xi x + B_\xi y_\xi \leq b_\xi \right\}$$

Two-stage robust linear problem setting

We consider the following two-stage robust linear problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x, y(\cdot)} \quad & c^\top x + \max_{\xi \in R} d^\top y_\xi \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_\xi x + B_\xi y_\xi \leq b_\xi && \forall \xi \in R \\ & x \in X, y_\xi \geq 0 && \forall \xi \in R \end{aligned}$$

Which can be reformulated as:

$$\min_{x \in X} c^\top x + V(x) \quad \text{where} \quad V(x) = \max_{\xi \in R} \tilde{V}(x, \xi)$$

With

$$\tilde{V}(x, \xi) := \min_{y_\xi \geq 0} \left\{ d^\top y_\xi \mid A_\xi x + B_\xi y_\xi \leq b_\xi \right\}$$

Bender's decomposition for two-stage problems

- The function $\tilde{V}(\cdot, \xi)$ is **polyhedral**.
 - The function $V(x)$ is **convex** in x .
 - If R is a polyhedron, function $V(x)$ is polyhedral.
- ➔ We can use a Bender's decomposition approach to solve the problem.

Contents

- 1 Fourier-Motzkin Elimination
- 2 Bender's decomposition for two-stage problems
- 3 Linear Decision Rules**
- 4 Piecewise-constant Decision Rules

Upper bound through decision rules

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y(\cdot): R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q} \quad & \max_{\xi \in R} J(x, \xi, y(\xi)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g(x, \xi, y(\xi)) \leq 0 \quad \forall \xi \in R \end{aligned}$$

An idea is to restrict the recourse decision to be a **parametric** function (a.k.a **decision rule**) of the uncertainty ξ ,

$$y(\xi) = \pi_\theta(\xi)$$

and see the parameter θ as a first-stage decision variable:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p, \theta} \quad & \max_{\xi \in R} J(x, \xi, \pi_\theta(\xi)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g(x, \xi, \pi_\theta(\xi)) \leq 0 \quad \forall \xi \in R \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound through decision rules

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y(\cdot): R \mapsto \mathbb{R}^q} \quad & \max_{\xi \in R} J(x, \xi, y(\xi)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g(x, \xi, y(\xi)) \leq 0 \quad \forall \xi \in R \end{aligned}$$

An idea is to restrict the recourse decision to be a **parametric** function (a.k.a **decision rule**) of the uncertainty ξ ,

$$y(\xi) = \pi_{\theta}(\xi)$$

and see the parameter θ as a first-stage decision variable:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p, \theta} \quad & \max_{\xi \in R} J(x, \xi, \pi_{\theta}(\xi)) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g(x, \xi, \pi_{\theta}(\xi)) \leq 0 \quad \forall \xi \in R \end{aligned}$$

Two-stage robust linear problem setting

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x, y(\cdot)} & c^\top x + \max_{\xi \in R} d^\top y_\xi \\ \text{s.t.} & A_\xi x + B_\xi y_\xi \leq b_\xi \quad \forall \xi \in R \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^p, y_\xi \in \mathbb{R}_+^q \quad \forall \xi \in R \end{array}$$

Linear Decision Rules

We consider a **linear** decision rule:

$$y_\xi = V\xi + u$$

Thus, the two-stage problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,u,V} \quad & c^\top x + \max_{\xi \in R} d^\top (V\xi + u) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_\xi x + B_\xi V\xi + B_\xi u \leq b_\xi && \forall \xi \in R \\ & x \geq 0, V\xi + u \geq 0 && \forall \xi \in R \end{aligned}$$

As this problem is now **one-stage**, we can reformulate each constraint independently, using the methods seen previously.

Linear Decision Rules

We consider a **linear** decision rule:

$$y_\xi = V\xi + u$$

Thus, the two-stage problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x,u,V} \quad & c^\top x + \max_{\xi \in R} d^\top (V\xi + u) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A_\xi x + B_\xi V\xi + B_\xi u \leq b_\xi & \forall \xi \in R \\ & x \geq 0, V\xi + u \geq 0 & \forall \xi \in R \end{aligned}$$

As this problem is now **one-stage**, we can reformulate each constraint independently, using the methods seen previously.

Linear Decision Rules

- Using LDR, we go from a two-stage problem to a one-stage problem, with increased an number of variables.
- Any LDR, optimal or not, yields a valid upper bound on the optimal value of the two-stage problem.
- For two-stage linear problems, with right-hand side uncertainty only, where R is a simplex, LDR are optimal.
- We can use LDR for multistage problems, non-anticipativity constraints being represented through constraints on the matrix V .
- LDR are not suitable for integer recourse variables.

Contents






- 1 Fourier-Motzkin Elimination
- 2 Bender's decomposition for two-stage problems
- 3 Linear Decision Rules
- 4 Piecewise-constant Decision Rules

Partition methodology

In order to simplify the problem, we can partition the uncertainty set R into a finite number of subsets R_1, \dots, R_K , and associate a recourse to each y_k .

Thus, the two-stage problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p, (y_k)_{k \in [K]}} \quad & \max_{k \in K} \max_{\xi \in R_k} J(x, \xi, y_k) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g(x, \xi, y_k) \leq 0 \quad \forall k \in [K], \forall \xi \in R_k \end{aligned}$$

-  **D. Bertsimas, D. Brown, C. Caramanis**
Theory and applications of robust optimization
Siam Review, 2011.
-  **D. Bertsimas and D. Den Hertog**
Robust and adaptive optimization
Dynamic Ideas, 2022.
-  **BL Gorissen, I. Yanikoglu and D. den Hertog**
A practical guide to robust optimization
Omega, 2015.
-  **D. Bertsimas and M. Sim**
The price of robustness
Operations research, 2004.
-  **A. Ben Tal, L El Ghaoui, A. Nemirovski**
Robust optimization
Springer, 2009.