

# Continuous Optimization Exam

04/06/2021

3 hours – documents allowed

The exam is made of 4 independent exercises, in roughly increasing difficulty. If necessary, you can admit the results of previous questions. When using the recalls, cite them.

## Some useful recalls

- i) If  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$  is a Gaussian vector, then, for any vector  $u$ , we have  $u^\top X \sim \mathcal{N}(u^\top \mu, u^\top \Sigma u)$ .
- ii) The Fenchel transform of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is given by  $f^*(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} x^\top y - f(y)$ .
- iii) Assume that  $f$  is a convex proper lsc function.  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex, iff  $f^*$  is differentiable with  $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -Lipschitz gradient.
- iv) Assume that  $f$  is a convex proper lsc function. Then  $\lambda \in \partial f(x)$  iff  $x \in \partial f^*(\lambda)$ .
- v) Assume that  $f$  is a convex proper lsc function. Then  $\lambda \in \partial f(x)$  iff  $x \in \arg \max_y \lambda^\top y - f(y)$

## Exercise 1: Warm-up

0 points

- (a) (1 point) On what conditions on the set  $C$  is  $\mathbb{I}_C$  a proper lower semicontinuous, convex function ?
- (b) (2 points) Write the KKT conditions for the following problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \ln \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i} \right) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Are they necessary and/or sufficient conditions of optimality for this problem ?

- (c) (2 points) We consider the following problem

$$(P) \quad \begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b, \quad x \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

with value  $v$  and the following penalized versions

$$\begin{aligned} (P_t^{in}) \quad \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & f(x) - t \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(-x_i) & \text{and} & \quad (P_t^{out}) \quad \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad f(x) + t \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i)^+ \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b, \quad x < 0 & & \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

with associated value  $v_t^{in}$  and  $v_t^{out}$ , and an optimal solution  $x_t^{in}$  and  $x_t^{out}$ .

Intuitively, assuming that  $f$  is "well behaved", for  $t$  going to which value does  $(P_t^{in})$  tends to the original problem  $(P)$  ? In which sense ? What can you say about  $x_t^{in}$  ? Can you compare  $v_t^{in}$  and  $v$  ? Same questions for  $(P_t^{out})$

**Exercise 2: Support function (4 points)**

0 points

For any set  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define its support function

$$\sigma_C : x \mapsto \sup_{c \in C} c^\top x$$

- (a) (2 points) Assume that  $C$  and  $D$  are closed convex sets. Using a separation theorem, show that  $C = D$  if and only if their support functions are equal.
- (b) (2 points) For any set  $C$ , recall that the indicator function  $\mathbb{I}_C$  take value 0 on  $C$  and  $+\infty$  outside. Show that, for any non empty set  $C$ , the Fenchel transform of its indicator function of set  $C$  is its support function, i.e.  $\mathbb{I}_C^* = \sigma_C$ . Deduce a second proof for the previous question.

**Exercise 3: A linear problem with Gaussian cost**

0 points

In the following we assume that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  are given matrices ;  $c$  is a gaussian random variable with mean  $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and variance  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ .

- (a) (2 points) We consider the following optimization problem

$$(P_\gamma) \quad \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad \mathbb{E}[c^\top x] + \gamma \text{Var}(c^\top x)$$

Show that  $P_\gamma$  is a quadratic program. Comment on the complexity of solving  $P_\gamma$ . (Hint : answer should depend on the value of the parameter  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

- (b) (2 points) We now consider the following problem

$$(P'_\alpha) \quad \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}} \quad z$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad Ax \leq b$$

$$\mathbb{P}[c^\top x \geq z] \leq \alpha$$

Show that, for  $\alpha \in ]0, 0.5]$ ,  $(P'_\alpha)$  is equivalent to an SOCP, using  $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-u^2/2} du$  (which is 1 minus the cdf of a centered gaussian), or its inverse  $\phi^{-1}$ .

What happen if  $\alpha \in ]0.5, 1]$  ?

**Exercise 4: Prox operator and Moreau-regularization**

0 points

For any  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  proper convex function we define the *proximal operator*

$$\text{prox}_f : x \mapsto \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|^2$$

and Moreau regularization of parameter  $\mu > 0$

$$f_\mu : x \mapsto \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(y) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|y - x\|^2$$

We want to study the *proximal point algorithm* given by the following sequence

$$x^{(k+1)} = \text{prox}_{\mu f}(x^{(k)}).$$

- (a) (1 point) Show that  $\text{prox}_f$  and  $f_\mu$  are well defined. For  $C$  closed convex non empty, and  $f = \mathbb{I}_C$  recognize  $\text{prox}_f$  and  $f_\mu$ .
- (b) (1 point) Show that  $x^\sharp$  is a minimizer of  $f$  if and only if it minimizes  $f_\mu$ , if and only if  $x^\sharp = \text{prox}_f(x^\sharp)$ .

- (c) (1 point) Show that  $f_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2\mu}\|x\|^2 - \frac{1}{\mu}(\mu f + \frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2)^*(x)$ .
- (d) (1 point) Show that  $\text{prox}_{\mu f}(x) = \arg \max_y x^\top y - \mu f(y) - \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2$ .
- (e) (1 point) Show that  $\nabla f_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\mu}(x - \text{prox}_{\mu f}(x))$ .
- (f) (1 point) Interpret the proximal point algorithm as a gradient algorithm.
- (g) (2 points) Writing

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu(x) = \min_{y,z} \quad & f(y) + \frac{1}{2\mu}\|z\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x - y = z \end{aligned}$$

and using duality show that  $f_\mu(x) = (f^* + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\cdot\|^2)^*(x)$ . Deduce that  $f_\mu$  has  $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -Lipschitz gradient.

- (h) (1 point) Show that, if  $f$  is a proper convex lowersemicontinuous function, admitting a minimizer, the proximal point algorithm converges toward a minimizer of  $f$ .
- (i) (1 point) For the following problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad g(x) + h(x)$$

with  $g \in \mathcal{C}^1$ , we introduce the proximal gradient algorithm given as

$$x^{(k+1)} = \text{prox}_{\mu h}\left(x^{(k)} - \mu \nabla g(x^{(k)})\right)$$

Recognize the proximal gradient algorithm for  $h = 0$  first and then for  $h = \mathbb{I}_C$ , with  $g$  proper convex lowersemicontinuous, and  $C \subset \text{dom}(g)$  closed convex non-empty.