Convex optimization - Take home exam 2024 —To be returned by May, 17th—

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ given data. We define the primal linear program (LP) and its dual as:

$$
\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} c^\top x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b \,, \ x \ge 0 \,, \qquad \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m, s \in \mathbb{R}^n} b^\top \lambda \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A^\top \lambda + s = c \,, \ s \ge 0 \,. \tag{1}
$$

We recall the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Goldman-Tucker). Suppose the primal and dual LP (1) are feasible. There exists at least one primal-dual solution (x^*, λ^*, s^*) satisfying strict complementarity: $x^* + s^* > 0$.

1 Self duality

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $g \in \mathbb{R}^m$ two vectors and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $E \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ three matrices. We define the linear program (LP)

$$
\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n, v \in \mathbb{R}^m} f^\top u + g^\top v
$$
\nsubject to\n
$$
Cu + Dv \ge -f \qquad [\leadsto \widetilde{u}]
$$
\n
$$
-D^\top u + Ev = -g \qquad [\leadsto \widetilde{v}]
$$
\n
$$
u \ge 0 \qquad [\leadsto w]
$$
\n(2)

We suppose C and E are skew-symmetric matrices: $C^{\top} = -C$ and $E^{\top} = -E$. We will note by $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, w)$
the Lagrangian multipliers attached repositively to the constraints $Cu + Du \geq -f$, $D^{\top}u + Eu = -g$ and the Lagrangian multipliers attached respectively to the constraints $Cu + Dv \geq -f$, $-D^Tu + Ev = -g$ and $u \geq 0$.

1. Write the KKT conditions of the LP (2).

Solution: We note \tilde{u} , \tilde{v} and w the Lagrangian multipliers associated respectively to $Cu + Dv \geq -f$, $-D^{\top}u + Ev = -g$ and $u \ge 0$. The Lagrangian of (2) is

$$
L(u, v, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, w) = f^{\top}u + g^{\top}v - \widetilde{u}^{\top}(f + Cu + Dv) - \widetilde{v}^{\top}(g - D^{\top}u + Ev) - w^{\top}u.
$$

The KKT conditions are:

2. Show that the LP (2) is self-dual, in the sense that its Lagrangian dual problem is exactly (2).

Solution: The dual problem is defined as max $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, w$ $\begin{pmatrix} \min_{u,v} L(u, v, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, w) \end{pmatrix}$ subject to $(\widetilde{u}, w) \ge 0$. After some reordering, we obtain:

$$
L(u, v, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, w) = -f^{\top} \widetilde{u} - g^{\top} \widetilde{v} + (f - C^{\top} \widetilde{u} + D\widetilde{v} - w)^{\top} u + (g - D^{\top} \widetilde{u} - E^{\top} \widetilde{v})^{\top} v.
$$

We have $\min_{u,v} L(u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, w) > -\infty$ only if $f - C^{\top} \tilde{u} + D\tilde{v} - w = 0$ and $g - D^{\top} \tilde{u} - E^{\top} \tilde{v} = 0$. Hence the dual problem writes

$$
\max_{\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, w} - f^{\top} \widetilde{u} - g^{\top} \widetilde{v}
$$
\nsubject to\n
$$
f - C^{\top} \widetilde{u} + D\widetilde{v} - w = 0
$$
\n
$$
g - D^{\top} \widetilde{u} - E^{\top} \widetilde{v} = 0
$$
\n
$$
(\widetilde{u}, w) \ge 0,
$$
\n(3)

Using $C^{\top} = -C, E^{\top} = -E$ and eliminating $w \ge 0$ in the formulation, we get exactly (2) after replacing max(·) by min –(·).

3. Show that the LP (2) is equivalent to the linear complementarity problem (LCP):

Find
$$
(u, v, w)
$$
 such that
$$
\begin{cases} w \begin{bmatrix} w \ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C & D \\ -D^{\top} & E \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
(4)
$$

Solution: \Rightarrow From the previous question, we deduce that any optimal solution of the dual is an optimal solution to the primal: $(u, v) = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$. Hence, we can eliminate the redundancy in the KKT equations explicited in the Question 1:

$$
\begin{cases}\nf - C^\top u + D^\top v - w = 0 \\
g - D^\top u - E^\top v = 0 \\
u^\top (C u + D v + f) = 0 \\
(u, w) \ge 0\n\end{cases}
$$

Using the first equation, we get $w = Cu + Dv + f$. The complementarity condition reformulates as $u^{\top}w = 0$, and we deduce that (u, v, w) is solution of (4).

 \Leftarrow Suppose (u, v, w) is solution of (4). We can verify that $(u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, w) := (u, v, u, v, w)$ is a primaldual optimal solution of the LP (2).

4. Use the Goldman-Tucker theorem to prove that if the LP (2) is feasible, then the LCP (4) has a strictly complementary solution: i.e., there exists (u, v, w) solution of (4) such that $u + w > 0$.

Solution: Using the Goldman-Tucker theorem, there exists $(u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, w)$ satisfying strict complementarity: $\widetilde{u} + (Cu + Dv + f) > 0$ and $u + w > 0$. Using self-duality, $(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}, u, v, w)$ is also a solution satisfying strict complementarity, with non-zero products set at the same location as $(u, v, \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, w)$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have either

$$
(u_i, \tilde{u}_i) > 0
$$
, $(Cu + Dv + f)_i = 0$ or $(u_i, \tilde{u}_i) = 0$, $(Cu + Dv + f)_i > 0$.

We deduce that for all i, $u_i + (Cu + Dv + f)_i > 0$, implying $u_i + w_i > 0$. As a consequence, (u, v, w) is strict complementarity solution of (4).

2 Simplified homogeneous self-dual (HSD) embedding

We introduce the following LP:

$$
\min_{x,\lambda,\tau,s,\kappa} 0
$$
\n
$$
\text{s.t.} \quad\n\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & -A^\top & c \\
A & 0 & -b \\
-c^\top & b^\top & 0\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nx \\
\lambda \\
\tau\n\end{bmatrix}\n=\n\begin{bmatrix}\ns \\
0 \\
\kappa\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
(x,\tau,s,\kappa) \geq 0.
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

1. Show that the LP (5) has a trivial solution, and all feasible points are optimal and satisfy

$$
s^{\top}x + \kappa \tau = 0. \tag{6}
$$

Solution: $(x, \lambda, \tau, s, \kappa) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ is a trivial solution. The objective function is 0, so all feasible points are optimal. For all feasible solution, we have:

$$
s^{\top}x + \kappa\tau = (-A^{\top}\lambda + \tau c)^{\top}x + (Ax - \tau b)^{\top}\lambda + (-c^{\top}x + b^{\top}\lambda)\tau
$$

= -\lambda^{\top}Ax + \tau c^{\top}x + \lambda^{\top}Ax - \tau b^{\top}\lambda - \tau c^{\top}x + \tau b^{\top}\lambda
= 0

2. Justify that if $(x, \lambda, \tau, s, \kappa)$ is solution of the LP (5), then for all $t > 0$ the vector $(tx, t\lambda, t\tau, ts, tk)$ is also a solution of (5).

Solution: The feasible set of LP (5) is an intersection of a linear subspace with a cone.

3. Suppose that $(x, \lambda, \tau, s, \kappa)$ is solution of (5), with $\tau > 0$ and $\kappa = 0$. Show that $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{s}) := (x/\tau, \lambda/\tau, s/\tau)$ is a solution of the original LP (1).

> $c^{\top}\hat{x} = b^{\top}\hat{\lambda}$ $(\hat{x}, \hat{s}) \geq 0$

Solution: For $(x, \lambda, \tau, s, \kappa)$ solution of (5) , $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{s})$ satisfies $\sqrt{ }$ \int $A^{\top} \hat{\lambda} - c + \hat{s} = 0$ $A\hat{x} = b$

In addition, we have

$$
\hat{s}^{\top}\hat{x} = (-A^{\top}\hat{\lambda} + c)^{\top}\hat{x}
$$

$$
= -\lambda^{\top}A\hat{x} + c^{\top}\hat{x}
$$

$$
= -\lambda^{\top}A\hat{x} + \hat{\lambda}^{\top}b
$$

As $A\hat{x} = b$, we deduce $\hat{s}^{\top}\hat{x} = 0$. Hence, $(\hat{x}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{s})$ satisfies the KKT conditions of the LP (1):

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

$$
\begin{cases} c - A^{\top} \lambda - s = 0 \\ Ax = b \\ s^{\top} x = 0 \\ (x, s) \ge 0 \end{cases}
$$

4. Show that the LP (5) has the same structure as the self-dual LP (2). Explicit the matrix C, D, E and the vectors f, g . Deduce that the original LP (2) is equivalent to the LCP:

Find
$$
(x, \lambda, \tau, s, \kappa)
$$
 such that
$$
\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -A^{\top} & c \\ A & 0 & -b \\ -c^{\top} & b^{\top} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda \\ \tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ 0 \\ \kappa \end{bmatrix}
$$
(7)
$$
(7)
$$

$$
(x, \tau, s, \kappa) \ge 0, \quad s^{\top}x + \kappa\tau = 0.
$$

Solution: We identify the vectors and the matrices one by one. First, we have $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ as the objective is zero. Second, we have $C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -A^{\top} \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $A \qquad 0$ skew-symmetric, $E = 0$ and $D = \begin{bmatrix} c \\ v \end{bmatrix}$ $-b$. The equivalence with (7) follows from Section 1

3 Generalization to conic programming

We recall the following result.

Theorem 2 (Moreau decomposition). Let K be a closed convex cone and K^{\oplus} its positive dual cone. We note P_K (resp. $P_{-K^{\oplus}}$) the Euclidean projection onto K (resp. $-K^{\oplus}$). For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. $z = x + y$ for $x \in K$, $y \in -K^{\oplus}$ and $x^{\top}y = 0$.

2.
$$
x = P_K(z)
$$
 and $y = P_{-K^{\oplus}}(z)$.

- 1. Let $K = \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+$.
	- (a) Give the expression of the dual cone K^{\oplus} .

Solution: The positive orthant \mathbb{R}^n_+ is self-dual, and $(\mathbb{R}^m)^{\oplus} = \{0\}^m$. Hence $K^{\oplus} = \mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \{0\}^m \times$ $\mathbb{R}_+.$

(b) For a matrix Q to be explicited, deduce that we can rewrite (7) more compactly as

Find (u, v) such that $\begin{cases} v = Qu, \\ 0, \end{cases}$ $(u, v) \in K \times K^{\oplus}$. (8)

Solution: Let $Q =$ \lceil $\overline{1}$ 0 $-A^\top$ c $A \qquad 0 \qquad -b$ $-c^{\top}$ b^{\top} 0 1 . For $(x, \lambda, \tau, s, \kappa)$ solution of (7), we have $u :=$ $(x, \lambda, \tau) \in K$ and $v = (s, 0, \kappa) \in K^{\oplus}$ and $v = Qu$. Conversely, we can build a solution of (7) from (u, v) solution of (8) .

(c) Use the Moreau decomposition theorem to prove that (8) is equivalent to finding $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1}$ such that

$$
-P_{-K^{\oplus}}(z) = QP_K(z) . \tag{9}
$$

Solution: The proof is direct using the Moreau decomposition theorem.

2. [Bonus question:] Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a proper cone. How to adapt the homogeneous self-dual embedding to solve the following conic problem?

$$
\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} c^\top x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ax = b \,, \ x \in \mathcal{C} \,. \tag{10}
$$

Solution: The KKT conditions of the conic program are

$$
\begin{cases}\nc - A^\top \lambda - s = 0 \\
Ax = b \\
s^\top x = 0 \\
(x, s) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}^\oplus\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(11)

Using the same procedure as before, we can prove that the KKT system is equivalent to the HSD embedding (8), with $K = \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and $K^{\oplus} = \mathcal{C}^{\oplus} \times \{0\}^m \times \mathbb{R}_+$.